



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,676	07/21/2003	Tarik Hammadou	CML00878AC	5080
7590	01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
Daniel K. Nichols Motorola, Inc. - Law Department 1303 E. Algonquin Road Schaumburg, IL 60196			KANG, DONGHEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,676	HAMMADOU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donghee Kang	2811	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/21/03.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Acknowledgment is made of receipt of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449) filed July 21, 2003.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on October 31, 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-17 & 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lee (US 2003/0042587).

Re claims 1 & 21, Lee teaches a programmable sensor array having a plurality of programmable cells, each of the cells comprising (Fig.25):

A programmable module (102); and a sensor element (701) operatively coupled to the programmable module, wherein the programmable module is programmable to perform logic functions and in use the sensor element provides a signal to the programmable module, the signal being dependent upon variations in an ambient condition monitored by the sensor element.

Art Unit: 2811

Re claims 2 & 22, Lee teaches a programmable sensor array further including analogue module (101) operatively coupling the programmable module to the sensor element.

Re claim 3, Lee teaches the sensor element is an image sensor element.

Re claim 4, Lee teaches the image sensor element is pixel element.

Re claim 5, Lee teaches the sensor element and programmable module are in a stacked relationship.

Re claim 6, Lee teaches the sensor element, programmable module and analogue module are in stacked relationship.

Re claims 7 & 23, Lee teaches the analogue module is sandwiched between the sensor element and programmable module.

Re claim 8, Lee teaches the sensor element is formed on an upper semiconductor substrate.

Re claim 9, Lee teaches the programmable module is formed on a lower semiconductor substrate.

Re claim 10, Lee teaches the analogue module is formed on an intermediate semiconductor substrate sandwiched between the upper semiconductor substrate and lower semiconductor substrate.

Re claim 11, Lee teaches the programmable module comprises configurable logic blocks.

Re claims 13-16, Lee teaches in plan view the sensor element is directly aligned with the programmable module and the analogue module.

Re claim 17, Lee teaches the cells are operatively coupled to input-output ports thereby allowing communication of the sensor array with external electronic circuitry.

Re claims 19-20, Lee teaches the analogue module includes a differential amplifier or comparator.

5. Claims 1-3, 7, 12, 18, & 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stam et al. (US 5,837,994).

Re claims 1 & 21, Stam et al. teach a programmable sensor array having a plurality of programmable cells, each of the cells comprising (Fig.5):

A programmable module (204); and a sensor element (201) operatively coupled to the programmable module, wherein the programmable module is programmable to perform logic functions and in use the sensor element provides a signal to the programmable module, the signal being dependent upon variations in an ambient condition monitored by the sensor element.

Re claims 2 & 22, Stam et al. teach a programmable sensor array further including analogue module (202) operatively coupling the programmable module to the sensor element.

Re claim 3, Stam et al. teach the sensor element is an image sensor element.

Re claims 7 & 23, Stam et al. teach the analogue module is sandwiched between the sensor element and programmable module.

Re claim 12, Stam et al. teach the programmable module forms part a field programmable logic array.

Re claim 18, Stam et al. teach the analogue module is an analogue to digital converter.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghee Kang whose telephone number is 571-272-1656. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Donghee Kang, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2811

dhk